

Lecture 5 **Adapting Modulation Coding Scheme** *April 16, 2024*

J OHN M. C IOFFI

Hitachi Professor Emeritus of Engineering

Instructor EE379B – Spring 2024

Announcements & Agenda

§ Announcements

- Problem Set #1 Solutions posted see PST (also link at course page and connects to canvas where actual solution is stored)
- Problem Set #2 Wed April 19 at 17:00
- Sections **1.6**, 4.4
- Problem Set #3 due April 26 at 17:00
- § Agenda
	- Loading with Statistical Channels
	- Ergodic Coded-OFDM Loading
	- Spatial Modulation

Problem Set $3 = PS3$, due 4

- 1. 4.13 basic C-OFDM design
- 2. 4.14 ergodic water-fill
- 3. 4.22 wireless spatial load
- 4. 4.16 estimating gain disti
- 5. 4.15 Simple Wi-Fi Loading

St

Loading with Statistical Channels *Subsection 4.4.2*

PS3.1 (Prob 4.13), PS3.3 (Prob 4.22) and PS3.4 (Prob 4.16)

April 16, 2024

The random-gain AWGN

- § See 379A-L5:6-18 for statistical channels.
- The AWGNs (tones/space) have a gain parameter g , so $p_{\lceil y, g \rceil / x}$ where g is random.
	- The gain is $g = \frac{|h|^2}{\sigma^2}$ for the AWGN, or more with variable gains/dimension $g_n \to {^{SNR}_{geo}}/{_{\bar{E}_x}}$, following Sep Th^m.
	- Effectively, each dimension has random $SNR_n = \mathcal{E}_n \cdot g_n$ where the designer can choose \mathcal{E}_n .

Example MCS loading using Sep Thm

- Base code is the $r = \frac{1}{2}$, $d_{free} = 10$, 64-state code from Wi-Fi (see 379A):
- 48 used tones (20 MHz-wide channel); $SNR_{geo} = 14.5$ dB (computed with 0 dB gap) and $\mathcal{E}_n \equiv \mathcal{E}$.
	- Puncturing options
		- No puncturing $r = \frac{1}{2}$, $d_{free} = 10$
		- Puncture 3 bits from 12, $r = \frac{2}{3}$, $d_{free} = 6$
		- Pucture 4 bits from 12, $r = \frac{3}{4}$, $d_{free} = 5$
	- **MCS Options**
		- Uncoded 4QAM nominally $P_e \cong 10^{-7}$ for a single AWGN; however, Sep Thm only applies with good codes not viable.
		- Coded 16QAM at rate 2/3 has coding gain of 6 dB (although increase to 16QAM constellation costs 7 dB), but still able to get $P_e \cong 10^{-6}$
(Gray coding, BICM).
		- Coded 16QAM at rate % has slightly higher gain, so also would work.
		- The 16QAM system thus has $b=\frac{3}{4}*2=1.5$ bits/dimension so indeed better rate than uncoded 4 QAM also.
- This presumes the $SNR_{\text{geo}} = 14.5$ dB remains constant.
	- Each use may need a new MCS solution when there is time-varying fading, which means SNR_{aeo} varies.
	- Wi-Fi trains on each channel use (so when channel is available and there is data to send).
	- Even video streams today are divided into packets and not sent as continuous stream.

Multichannel Normalizer (or "FEQ"), See L4:16

Calculation of average and outage error probs

- Average error prob and outage depend on a channel-gain distribution p_a that is given or measured (by receiver).
- The average error probability is weighted by p_a for just those transmissions not in outage.
- **Quasi-stationary assumption** is that the gain g_n is constant for each decoder use (over the codeword) it can vary over n , but not time.
	- Think tone for multicarrier what this really means is SNR_{qe0} is constant over each decoder use of tones/spatial dimensions in the context of separation theorem.
		- That is, coherence **time** is best longer than a codeword.
		- If not, hard-decoding applies at lower data rate with that $\langle P_b \rangle$ $\left| \frac{d_{free+1}}{2} \right|$ for a BSC.

LLRs generally with FEQ & Decoder

FEQ provides LL/soft information to the decoder.

- This works if code is good $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ dB;
- Even works well if erasures used, then hard (e.g., Reed Solomon) code recovers if < P/2 erred ss.
	- Upcoming Ergodic Water-Fill (both MA and RA) provide theoretical guidance, but not practical.
	- LLR_n=0 if $g_n < \gamma_0^*$.
- § **LL/Erasure avoids:**
	- "feedback delay that $g_n < \gamma_0$, so don't transmit" would take too long (issue largely only in wireless)
	- On/off or variable gain that frustrates power amplifiers (on/off transients) and receiver automatic gain-control circuits
- Adaptive power is often feasible for space (MIMO) to use/not use certain spatial channels, but not for time-frequency.

Ergodic rate-adaptive loading

Q-func is correct with quasi-Definition 4.4.5 [Ergodic Rate-Adaptive Coded MT Loading with constant energy Ergodic Rate-adaptive coded MT loading with constant energy solves **static assumption.** $\max_{r,|C|,g_{out}}$ $r \cdot \log_2|C|$ objective: (4.155) **Also BICM is presumed so that** (4.156) **this formula essentially** subject to: $\langle \bar{P}_e \rangle = \sum_{g > g_{out}} p_g \cdot \bar{N}_e \cdot Q \left[\sqrt{\frac{3 \cdot \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \left[g \cdot d_{free}(r)}{|C| - 1} \right]} \leq \widehat{P}_e \quad (4.157)$ **assumes** $\log_2|C|$ parallel bit **channels.** $r \leq 1 - \sum p_g,$ (4.158) where the algorithm selects the code rate $0 < r < 1$ from among the allowed code rates,

and |C| is the selected (usually square) QAM constellation size in (4.155) - (4.158) . The outage threshold g_{out} characterizes the two sums that are computed for each candidate ordered pair of $[r, |C|]$. The fraction $\frac{3}{|C|-1}$ can be adjusted to κ with non-square constellations, but the concept is the same.

Definition 4.4.3 [Average Geometric Channel ratio] The average geometric channel gain is $\gamma_{geo}^* \triangleq \prod_{g \in G^*} (g) \left[\frac{p_g}{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g} \right]$. (4.143)

- Finds the cut-off channel gain g_0 that maximizes data rate $(\tilde{b} = r \cdot |\mathcal{C}|)$.
	- The $[r, |C|]$ choice is over allowed constellations and codes with $(d_{free}(r))$, for the average error probability.
	- Assumes $r \le 1 P_{out}$. So applied code with rate r can correct the subsymbols lost to outage (think RS can at least do this).

L2: 9

Loading on Actual Wireless Channel with Flat Energy

§ Compute gap-based data rate for both average and max (over all tones) with rough gap estimate.

$$
b_{\text{flat-geo}} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_x \cdot g_n}{\Gamma} \right) \quad b_{\text{max}} = \log_2 \left(\text{max constellation size} \right) \le \max_n \left\{ \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_x \cdot g_n}{\Gamma} \right) \right\}
$$

- § Compute code rate for "good code" (low gap) with this flat energy.
	- Then this code rate r then leads to a d_{free} for the selected code.

■ Compute outage probability from *r* as
$$
\bar{P}_{out} = 1 - r
$$
.

$$
r = \frac{b_{flat-geo}}{b_{max}} \le 1
$$

- Estimate p_a by **binning** or counting of *g* values in 6 dB 10 log10 ($d_{\text{free-new}}/d_{\text{free-old}}$), over which the *b* value does not change the constellation-size choice (see example L5:13).
	- Binning counts FEQ noise-estimate values in each range (current symbol or over many symbols).
- Solve for q_0 -- tones with $q < q_0$ will be "erased."
- Indicate "erasure" (delete from sum is one way) in ML detector.
	- This could be an erasure in Reed-Solomon.
	- It can also be zero LLR in iterative decoder for bits corresponding to that tone.

L5: 10

Error Correction Code (Section 2.2)

- Example:
	- Use Reed Solomon Block FEC byte wise (N <256):
		- Parity *P* is up to 32 bytes.
		- It corrects up to *P/2* bytes if in random codeword positions, or up to *P* if "erasures" (locations of likely byte error) are determined.
	- Suppose P_{out} = 5% and *N=200*,
		- then 10 to 20 parity bytes are needed.
	- Suppose P_{out} = 50%, then $N=40$ and $P > 20$ might be needed (low rate code < $\frac{1}{2}$)
- Designs can also use soft iterative decoding with BICM on binary code use.

Wireless Example

Extension of well-known code as example (64-state rate-1/2 code with puncturing) has:

PS3.1 (Prob 4.13)

- $>> r = 0.9$ 0.8 0.75 0.67 0.5 0.25 0.2
- $>$ dfree = 2 4 6 7 10 20 25
- Given (measured) channel-gain distribution
	- $>> e = 3$ 30 300 600 1200 2400 4800 10000
	- \gg pg=[.11 .1 .03 .05 .35 .2 .1 .06];

 \gg prob2 = kron(ones(7,1),pg);

Pout=cumsum(prob2 $(1,1:8)$) % =

0.1100 0.2100 0.2400 0.2900 0.6400 0.8400 0.9400 1.0000

 \gg ones(1,8)-Pout =

0.8900 0.7900 0.7600 0.7100 0.3600 0.1600 0.0600 0

 $\gg r =$

0.9000 0.8000 0.7500 0.6700 0.5000 0.2500 0.2000

Not correctable correctable Not correctable

April 16, 2024

Wireless Example continued for <Pe>

14.7712 24.7712 34.7712 37.7815 40.7918 43.8021 46.8124 50.0000 17.7815 27.7815 37.7815 40.7918 43.8021 46.8124 49.8227 53.0103 18.7506 28.7506 38.7506 41.7609 44.7712 47.7815 50.7918 53.9794

- The SNR (with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_x = 1$) is
- >> SNR=kron(dfree',g); $\gg 10^{*}$ log10(SNR) = (in dB) 7.7815 17.7815 27.7815 30.7918 33.8021 36.8124 39.8227 43.0103 10.7918 20.7918 30.7918 33.8021 36.8124 39.8227 42.8330 46.0206 11.7609 21.7609 31.7609 34.7712 37.7815 40.7918 43.8021 46.9897 12.5527 22.5527 32.5527 35.5630 38.5733 41.5836 44.5939 47.7815

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_x \cdot d_{free} \cdot g
$$

Only rows 3-4 are eligible.

- Compute $\langle P_e \rangle$ for several SNRs and SQ QAM Constellations 4QAM -- >> prob1=q(sqrt(SNR(1:4,1:4)))
	-
	- 16 QAM -- >>prob1=2*q(sqrt((3/15)*SNR(1:4,1:4)))

Compute <Pe> tables

• etc

4SQ QAM $g_{out} \rightarrow$

0.1105 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0.0230 0.0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0230 0.0000 0 >> avePe=cumsum((prob2(3:4,1:8).*prob1)','reverse')' 0.1215 0.0000

>> prob1=qfunc((3/15)*sqrt(SNR(3:4,:))) % = 0.1981 0.0036 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0 0.1797 0.0019 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0 >> 100*avePe=cumsum((prob2(3:4,1:8).*prob1)','reverse')' % = 2.2152 0.0365 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0 1.9954 0.0188 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0

 \gg \gg γ prob1=qfunc(sqrt(SNR(3:4,:))) prob1 = $1.0e-04$ *

avePe = $1.0e-05$ *

0.0253 0.0000 0 0 0 0

r .75 .67

 $\bar{b} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 1 = .75$

§ 64 QAM doesn't quite work with anything, so 16SQ with r=3/4 is best design, see Section 4.4.2.3.

April 16, 2024 *Section 4.4.2.3* L5: 13

Stanford University

16SQ QAM

 \overline{b} = $\frac{3}{4}$ ·2 = 1.5 bits/dim

Project: Flow Chart for C-OFDM Loading?

Ergodic Coded-OFDM Loading

Subsection 4.4.2

Approximate the distribution as discrete

$$
p_g(v)\cdot dv\to p_{g,n}
$$

May care about lower ranges more and so more finely divide distribution there into samples

• This simplifies calculations for loading, and the Rayleigh model was only approximate anyway.

April 16, 2024

L5: 16

Discrete Distributions

- All distributions (Rayleigh, Ricean, Log-Normal, etc) are gross approximations in wireless.
- § Approximate by discrete distributions (which can be learned):
- $p_q(v) \cdot dv \to p_{q,n}$

May need to renormalize so probabilities sum to 1

$$
p_{g,n} = \frac{p_g(n \cdot \Delta) \cdot \Delta}{\sum_n p_g(n \cdot \Delta) \cdot \Delta}
$$

- The probability $p_{g,n}$ represents the fraction of time that $g_n \frac{\Delta_n}{2} < g \leq g_n + \frac{\Delta_n}{2}$.
	- Intervals Δ_n do not need to be the same size (may want to align with constellation-size choices).

- Simplify so that g is discrete set of center values $p_q(n\Delta)$ and discrete index $q \in \mathcal{G}$, size $|\mathcal{G}|$.
- *g* takes place of dimension index, almost (weight not 1 as with integer index).

Average bit rate and ergodic capacity $(\Gamma = 0$ dB)

§ Average bit rate is:

$$
\langle b \rangle = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} p_g \cdot \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{x,g} \cdot g}{\Gamma} \right)
$$

Ave Mutual Info has $\Gamma=0$ dB.

§ Average energy is:

Section 4.4.2.1 and 4.4.2.3

$$
\mathcal{E}_{X} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{E}_{X,g} \cdot p_{g}
$$

takes 's **place in DMT** RA.

Rate Adaptive and Margin-Adaptive Water-fill have $\mathcal{E}_q = K - \Gamma/g$.

See PS3.2 (Prob 4.14)

$$
\mathcal{E}_{x,g} = K_{ra} - \frac{\Gamma}{g} ,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g \cdot \left(K_{ra} - \frac{\Gamma}{g} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= K_{ra} \cdot \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g - \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g \cdot \frac{\Gamma}{g}
$$
\n
$$
K_{ra} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{x}} + \Gamma \cdot \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g}{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} p_g} \boxed{\mathbf{RA}}
$$

 $< b > \;\; = \;\; \log_2 \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{x,g} \cdot g}{\Gamma} \right)^{p_g}$ $=\log_2\prod_{g\in\mathcal{G}^*}\left(\frac{K_{ma}\cdot g}{\Gamma}\right)^{p_g}$ $\begin{array}{rcl} 2^{**}\!\!\!&=&\displaystyle\left(\frac{K_{ma}}{\Gamma}\right)^{\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}^*}p_g}\cdot\prod_{g\in\mathcal{G}^*}g^{p_g}\[10pt] K_{ma} &=&\displaystyle\Gamma\cdot\left(\frac{2^{**}}{\prod_{g\in\mathcal{G}^*}g^{p_g}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}^*}p_g}} \end{array}****$ $g_{geo}(\ast) \triangleq \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}^{\ast}}(g) \left[\frac{p_g}{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^{\ast}} p_g}\right]$ = $\Gamma \cdot \frac{(2^b>) \left[\frac{1}{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}^{\ast}} p_g}\right]}{q_{geo}^{\ast}}$ **MA**

April 16, 2024

Ergodic Water-filling - Goldsmith

The amount of energy is either zero or a value given by the water-fill equation for $g \in \mathcal{G}^*$.

$$
\mathcal{E}_{x,g} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\cdot \frac{2^{}}{g_{geo}} - \frac{\Gamma}{g} & g > \frac{\Gamma}{K_{ma}} \\ 0 & g \leq \frac{\Gamma}{K_{ma}} \end{array} \right. \hspace{1cm} \text{transmit if } g > g_0 = \frac{\Gamma}{K_{ma}} \ .
$$

- Direct water-fill calc from time-domain q values is non-causal; can't really be made causal with delay.
- Instead, the stationary statistics have been exploited above.
	- Those statistics (p_a) may need to be estimated by counting g values though over time.
	- If the system is ergodic, this will get better and better.
- Instantaneous transmit energy often has limit (less than the $\mathcal{E}_{x,q}$ value above) for small g.

If so, reduce $\langle b \rangle$ **-- this is a kind of margin also**

This is not practical – use erasures instead, but provides bound.

Stanford University

April 16, 2024

L5: 19

Average and Outage Capacities

- **Average Capacity** is $\langle \tilde{C} \rangle = \sum_{g} p_g \cdot \log_2 \left(1 + \mathcal{E}_{x,g} \cdot g \right)$ bits/complex-subsymbol.
	- $\langle \tilde{C} \rangle$ depends on energy distribution, which would be ergodic water-fill for maximum value.

$$
= \log_2 \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}^*} \left(\frac{K_{ma} \cdot g}{\Gamma} \right)^{p_g}
$$

- **Outage Capacity** is $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{out} \triangleq (1 P_{out}) \cdot \langle \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rangle$ bits/complex-subsymbol.
	- Basically it reduces by data that would have been transmitted during outage
	- This may need retransmission, so would then be lower yet by average number of retransmissions + 1.

Spatial Modulation "Space-Time Block Codes (STBC)"

April 16, 2024 21

Spatial Vector Coding is Optimal

- The symbols have crosstalk or inter-spatial-dimension interference.
- **E** However, symbols otherwise have no intersymbol interference, $v = 0$ (or ISI is separately handled).
	- With Vector DMT/OFDM on all crosstalking channels, there is no ISI.
- Spatial Vector-Code channel partitioning remains for each tone n (index not shown).

L5: 22

Spatial Modulator (Matrix)

- Best matrix modulator is M for any energy distribution.
- For this choice of M, it follows easily that the unbiased MMSE receiver matrix is F^* .
- In practice, it may be difficult for the transmitter to know M , since only the receiver can measure it.
	- This requires a reverse control channel.
	- The channel may change by the time it is reversed communicated.
- § This leads to a variety of spatial approximations, among them Space-Time Block Codes (STBC).

Alamouti's Code (1998)

- § **The trivial 1 x 2 "repeat" channel is the ONLY channel** for which Alamouti's code is Vector Coding.
	- It obviously does better by 3 dB than a single channel use $2 \cdot \lceil h \rceil^2$ instead of $\lceil h \rceil^2$
- Basically, two line-of-sight paths to the same single-antenna receiver that must have the same gain.

Alamouti with more general 2x2 H ??

\n- Symmetric matrices:
$$
J_2 \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
, $J_1 \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $R \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{bmatrix}$
\n- Identity: $J_2 \cdot R \cdot J_2 + J_1 \cdot R \cdot J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a + b & 0 \\ 0 & a + b \end{bmatrix}$
\n

Alamouti modulator:
$$
\mathbf{x}_{4\times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_2 \\ J_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} H \cdot J_2 \\ H \cdot J_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{n}
$$

• Forward channel autocorrelation is the block diagonal
\n• Each 2×2 sub-block diagonal is
$$
R_f = J_2^* \cdot H^* \cdot H \cdot J_2 + J_1 \cdot H^* \cdot H \cdot J_1
$$

\n
$$
= \begin{bmatrix} H_{22}^2 + H_{21}^2 + H_{12}^2 + H_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22}^2 + H_{21}^2 + H_{12}^2 + H_{11}^2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

SUBOPTIMAL Alamouti's Code Use, 2 x 2 channel

4 complex input dimensions (8 real dimensions) some symbol values repeated

 $\gamma_{repeat} = \frac{1}{2}$ $R_f = J_2^* \cdot H^* \cdot H \cdot J_2 + J_1 \cdot H^* \cdot H \cdot J_1$, $= \left[\begin{array}{cc} H_{22}^2 + H_{21}^2 + H_{12}^2 + H_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22}^2 + H_{21}^2 + H_{12}^2 + H_{11}^2 \end{array} \right]$

- The transmitter remains channel independent, and the receiver remains simple matched matrix.
- § The SNR is higher, BUT the data rate is halved. **Vector coding is better.**

STBC Codebooks

- Variety of different sizes, but rate loss $\gamma_{reneat} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (with more than one receive antenna).
- They perform a lot worse than vector-coding in practice.

Sqrt same as ½ in front, must include the repeat Factor on the two inputs. **At higher data rates, the VC advantage grows;**

Wireless Raleigh fading

 $\langle P_e \rangle \propto (SNR)^{d_{free}}$

but still large VC advantage.

Section 4.6.2.1 April 16, 2024 *PS3.3 (4.22)*

L5: 27

Wireless field use has STBC as "option"

- STBC will essentially repeat symbols, so if the channel is very poor and the codes (nonideal) are fixed, then it can increase SNR and create a reliable link at significant data-rate loss.
- This may be acceptable if no connection is otherwise reliably possible.
- For ideal outer codes ($\Gamma = 0$ dB), there is no such repeat-energy advantage theoretically.
- § Most wireless systems (Cellular and Wi-Fi) allow use of STBC as an option, but also permit use of vector-coding approximations to avoid the STBC losses.

Cellular: Type 1 Precoders

 $Q_{L_{x,1}\cdot O_1} \otimes Q_{L_{x,2}\cdot O_2}$ = precoder factor is cartesian product of horizontal and vertical DFTs $Q_{L_x, i:O_i}$ is a DFT of size in subscript

- The precoder matrix A stacks the columns of $Q_{L_{\chi,1}\cdot O_1}\otimes Q_{L_{\chi,2}\cdot O_2}$ so each is L_{χ} x 1
- **These columns can be multiplied by 1, j,** -1 **,** $-i$ **for different streams to form an** L_x **x ss matrix**

Cellular: Type 2 Precoders

- Use the columns of Type 1, call them $w_{l,ss}$ and weight them (not necessarily unity gain).
- Weights $a_{l,ss}$ can have amplitudes $2^{-i/2}$ for $i \in \{0, ..., 6\}$ and phases $\frac{2\pi}{j}$ $j \in \{0, ..., L_x 1\}$.

$$
W_{ss} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_x} \bm{w}_{\ell,ss} \cdot a_{\ell,ss}
$$

- Receiver will also send back indices *i* and *j* along with indices for the Type 1 $W_{N,SS}$.
- **•** Factors $a_{L,ss}$ are often split into wideband slower-varying factor and narrow band (frequencydependent) faster-varying factor.

Wi-Fi Spatial Modulators

- Wi-Fi Space-Time is much simpler than cellular.
	- But alas, also allows Alamouti on 2x2 antenna mix channels,
		- and will repeat them for several 2x2 groupings (ouch!).
- § Specifies a series of 2x2 rotations (complex, 2 angles) and the spatial antenna indices to which they apply to attempt to approximate M (or exactly realize it if there are enough sent).
	- That's more like it!!

End Lecture 5